



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

17
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,908	04/06/2001	Nicolas Voyer	205699US2	6511
22850	7590	04/05/2004	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHO, UN C	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2682		8

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/826,908	VOYER, NICOLAS
Examiner	Art Unit	
Un C Cho	2682	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 12-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5-11 and 16-22 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 9, line 2 recites "the mobile station", this should be "the base station" instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/15/2001 and 4/17/2002 was filed after the mailing date of the Application 09/826,908 on 5/23/2001. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 3 and 14. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5 – 11 and 16 – 22 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vannucci (EP 0 893 930 A2).

Regarding claim 1, Vannucci teaches a method of mobile location estimation in a wireless system: the wireless system including a plurality of base stations (Fig. 1, BS1 – 4), designed to transmit signals to determine the position of the mobile station (Col. 9, lines 19 – 24) and that the base stations have periods of silence (Col. 7, lines 53 – 58) where no signal is transmitted, in which periods of transmission and the periods of silence are arranged in cycles, the cycle allocated to a base station being identical to the cycle allocated to any base station adjacent to it but offset in time (Col. 12, lines 28 – 38) from it. Vannucci also teaches a mobile station (Fig. 1, 12) designed to detect useful signals (Col. 11, lines 1 – 7) and making measurements of the signals for implementing a position determination method (Col. 11, lines 14 – 21).

Regarding claim 12, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 2 – 4 and 13 – 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vannucci in view of Anderson et al (US 6,130,910).

Regarding claim 2, Vannucci teaches offset in time (Vannucci, Col. 12, lines 28 – 38). However, Vannucci fails to teach that the offset in time is equal to a sub-multiple of the duration of a cycle. In contrast, Anderson teaches three output signals having a time offset and duty cycle associated therewith (Anderson, Col. 3, lines 65 through Col. 4, lines 1 – 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Anderson to Vannucci to provide a power amplifier that efficiently amplifies a wide band RF signal exhibiting a wide modulation bandwidth.

Regarding claim 3/1, 3/2, 4, 13, 14/1, 14/2 and 15, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un C Cho whose telephone number is (703)305-8725. The examiner can normally be reached on M ~ F 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703)308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Un C Cho *UC*
Examiner
Art Unit 2682
4/1/2004



LEE NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER